

Generational approach to SDI assessment

Lukasz Grus

Joep Crompvoets

Abbas Rajabifard

Arnold Bregt

Wageningen, 23rd May, 2007



WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY
WAGENINGEN UR

Ruimte voor Geo-Informatie



Presentation outline

- Evaluation purposes
- Developmental evaluation
- Generational approach
- Indicator candidates and values



Evaluation

- Three main purposes of doing evaluation (Chelimsky, 1997):

- accountability
- knowledge
- **developmental**

developmental – assessment purpose is to measure and recommend changes in organization activities and to monitor how projects are being implemented across a number of different sites



Developmental evaluation

- Flexible tool that works both **prospectively** and **retrospectively** to:
 - measure and recommend changes
 - develop performance indicators and targets (to improve institutional effectiveness and responsiveness)



Potential benefits of developmental evaluation for SDI

- position individual SDIs on worldwide arena
- determine whether SDIs develop into the right (intended) direction
- determine whether SDIs develop according to the set agenda
- judge if SDIs develop according to international trends of GI-SDI developments
- recommend changes in SDI activities.
- verify the past activities (possibly the success and the failure factors)



Generational approach

■ SDI generations

- Masser (1999) reviews eleven national SDIs that constitute the first generation of SDI. Lessons for second generation.
- Rajabifard (2003) discusses the development patterns of 1st and 2nd SDI generations
- Rajabifard (2006) discusses the emerging trends after 1st and 2nd SDI generations (“future generation”)

■ Why generational understanding of SDI development?

- well recognized
- reflect advancements in SDIs
- identifiable in most of the countries



Transitions through generations are most visible in the following areas (based on SDI literature)

- User/producer orientation
- Governance/players roles
- Technology
- Standards



From 1st, through 2nd to future SDI generations

- **From less to more user oriented/driven infrastructure**
- **Decrease of National and increase of sub-national and private roles in SDI activities**
- **Technological indicators – From database to services oriented applications**
 - Conversion datasets (analogue to digital)
 - Clearinghouses (with data web services)
- **Steady harmonization of International (ISO, CEN) GI – standards (e.g. metadata)**

Indicators (proposition)

	First SDI generations	Second SDI generations	Future SDI generations
<u>User/Prod. driven</u>	producer	User/producer	user
<u>Centralized vs. decentralized</u>	Centralized, main national player	National as well as sub-national and private	National sets a framework, sub-national and private create heterogeneous SDI
<u>Data format</u>	Analogue	Analogue-Digital	Fully digital
<u>Access and use</u>	Metadata databases	Web services for data sharing and data communication	Fully operational possibility of access, processing and application of data (on-line)
<u>Standards</u>	National standards	National standards compliant with international	Full interoperability between heterogeneous actors

Discussion

- List of indicators
 - finished?
 - any others indicators?
 - different ones?
- Are they applicable in most of the countries?
- Which indicators indicate the generational change best?

