

# WUR at the Age of GenAl

### Mapping Teachers' GenAl Literacy, Use, and Perception



2024-2025

Al-Generated















# **RESEARCH TEAM**

Omid Noroozi

Nafiseh Taghizadeh Kerman

Harm Biemans

Perry den Brok

Kazem Banihashem

**EDUCATION AND LEARNING SCIENCES** 

**CHAIR GROUP** 

## <u>Content</u>

- Background
- Objectives
- Methods
- Results
- Summary



## **Background**

- AI tools are becoming an integral part of everyday life
- In education, the use of AI tools, particularly Generative AI (GenAI), is rapidly expanding as:
  - Al can adapt to individual student needs, providing tailored learning experiences
  - Automates administrative tasks, grading, and feedback, saving educators time
- It is crucial to understand how teachers perceive and use GenAl tools, as there are some concerns about Al in education, such as:
  - Al models may have biases or provide inaccurate or misleading information
  - Risk of plagiarism and reliance on AI-generated content instead of critical thinking
- This is essential for shaping university policies related to GenAl in education



## <u>Objectives</u>

The main objectives of this project are:

- Delving into teachers' AI literacy knowledge
- Exploring teachers' experiences with GenAI tools
- Understanding teachers' perceptions of using GenAI tools



## **GenAl and Al literacy**

### GenAl:

 a subset of AI, focuses on generating new, original content by learning patterns from existing data via using deep learning techniques, systems like GPT models (Brown et al., 2020)

#### Al literacy:

- the ability to comprehend the fundamental principles and concepts of AI-driven technologies
- includes knowing and understanding AI, using and applying AI, creating and evaluating AI, and addressing ethical considerations (Ng et al., 2021)



## **Methods**

- Method: Survey-based Study
- Targeted Group: WUR teachers
- N of Participants: 302
  - Gender: Female (123), Male (157), Prefer not to say (21)
  - Field of Study: Plant Sciences (52), Social Sciences (66), Animal Sciences (27), Environmental Sciences (73), Agrotechnology & Food Sciences (54), Other (30)
  - Nationality: Dutch (203), Non-Dutch (92)
  - Years of Experience: <1 year (6), 1–5 years (124), 6–10 years (57), 11–15 years (51), 16–20 years (21), >20 years (30)
- Period of Attendance: Academic Year 2024
- Data Analysis: SPSS Software
- <u>Analysis methods</u>: Descriptive Analysis and Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA)



## <u>Results</u>

- Demographic Information
- AI literacy: Overall knowledge
- AI literary: Female vs Male
- Al literacy: Science Groups
- Experiences with GenAl
- GenAl for teaching and learning
- Transparency in using GenAl
- Institutional support for using GenAl
- Perceptions of using GenAl



## **Demographic Information**





■ Female ■ Male ■ Prefer not to answer



Plant Sciences

Animal Sciences



## **Experiences with GenAl**



### Al Literacy



Teachers scored themselves high in the ethical aspect of AI literacy, suggesting a strong awareness of the ethical considerations associated with AI use.



## **Affective Aspect of AI Literacy**

#### **Motivation to use Al**



UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH

## **Affective Aspect of AI Literacy**

#### Self-Efficacy in the use of AI



## Affective Aspect of Al Literacy

#### **Confidence in the use of AI**





## Main Remarks #Affective

- Teachers perceived themselves as interested in and curious about learning and integrating AI into their practice.
- Teachers perceived themselves as confident in their understanding and knowledge of AI.
- Teachers perceived themselves as highly capable of performing Al-related tasks.
- Teachers perceived AI as not highly relevant or meaningful to their everyday lives.



## **Behavioral Aspect of AI Literacy**

#### **Commitment to use**





## **Behavioral Aspect of AI Literacy**

#### **Collaboration to use AI**





## Main Remarks #Behavioral

- Most teachers perceived a strong interest in exploring new Al features.
- Most teachers perceived a high intention to integrate AI into their future practices.
- Most teachers perceived a commitment to staying up-to-date with AI developments.
- Most teachers perceived a lack of encouragement to collaborate with colleagues on AI-related tasks.



## **Cognitive Aspect of Al Literacy**

#### **Knowing and Understanding AI**





## **Cognitive Aspect of Al Literacy**

#### **Apply Al**





## Main Remarks #Cognitive

- Most teachers perceived themselves as confident in knowing and understanding AI.
- Teachers perceived themselves as somewhat confident in their knowledge of applying AI.



## **Ethical Aspect of AI Literacy**

Belief in AI's Potential to Help Disadvantaged People

Belief in AI Systems Meeting Ethical and Legal Standards 1% 4%

Belief in Accountability for Using AI Systems

Belief in Informing Users About AI System Purpose, Function, and Limitations

Belief in AI Systems Benefiting Everyone, Regardless of Physical Abilities or Gender

Belief in User Responsibility for AI Design and Decision Processes

Belief in Rigorous Testing for AI Systems

Understanding the Risks of AI Misuse to Humans 1%1%7%



■ Not applicable ■ Strongly disagree ■ Disagree ■ Neither agree nor disagree ■ Agree ■ Strongly agree



## Main Remarks #Ethical

- Teachers perceived themselves as highly aware of AI risks and biases.
- Teachers perceived themselves as having a strong selfawareness of AI accountability.
- Teachers perceived themselves as highly aware of AI limitations.



#### Al Literacy: Male vs Female





#### Al Literacy: Male vs Female #continued



Female Male

## Main Remarks #Gender

 Male teachers scored themselves significantly higher than female teachers regarding the cognitive aspect of AI literacy, more specifically in terms of Applying AI

#### While there is no significant difference:

- Male teachers scored themselves slightly higher compared to females regarding the affective aspect of AI literacy
- Both male and female teachers perceived themselves lower in the behavioral aspect of AI literacy
- Both male and female teachers perceived themselves equally high regarding the ethical aspect of AI literacy

Question: Do we need to take differences found into account for support and/or policy?



#### Al Literacy: Among Science Groups





## Main Remarks #Science Groups

- The Animal Sciences group teachers scored themselves higher than teachers from other groups regarding the affective aspect of AI literacy
- The Plant Sciences group teachers scored themselves higher than teachers of other groups regarding the behavioral and cognitive aspects of Al literacy
- All groups scored themselves almost similarly regarding the ethical aspect of Al literacy

Question - All groups scored rather low in the behavioral aspect of Al literacy, may call for more support to improve this aspect?



## **GenAl for Teaching**

#### For Teaching, Lesson Plans, and Learning Materials





## **GenAl for Teaching #continued**

#### For Engagement, Interactions, and Critical Thinking





## **GenAl for Teaching #continued**



#### For Generating Questions and Exercises



### **GenAl for Teaching #continued**

WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH





## Main Remarks #GenAl Use

- The free version of ChatGPT was perceived as the most widely used GenAI tool.
- Most teachers perceived themselves as hardly using GenAI tools for teaching.
- The most common perceived use of GenAI for teaching, reported by 21% of teachers, was for improving teaching materials.



## **Transparency in Using GenAl**



Teachers who perceived themselves as using GenAI for teaching were mostly transparent and open about their use.



## Institutional Support for GenAl Use

Institutional GenAI Training for Students

Institutional GenAI Training for Teaching Staff

Ethical Issues of GenAI Use Addressed in Institution

Clear Institutional Policies on GenAI Use for Assessment

Clear Institutional Policies on GenAI Use for Teaching



Most teachers perceived uncertainty about the comprehensive existence of institutional support for GenAI use or perceived themselves as unaware of any available support.

The findings raise a need to promote institutional support!



## Perceptions of GenAl Use

#### **Perceived Productivity and Usefulness**



UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH

### Perceptions of GenAl Use #continued



WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH

### Perceptions of GenAl Use #continued

#### **Perceived Validity and Trust**

2% Need to Verify GenAI Responses Against Other Sources 1% 14% 29% 46% 1% 3% GenAl Educational Content is Not Always Correct 18% 1% 32% 38% 1% 1% Trust in Information from GenAl 27% 2% 14% 35% 14% ■ Not applicable ■ Strongly disagree ■ Disagree ■ Neither agree nor disagree ■ Agree ■ Strongly agree



## Main Remarks #GenAl Perception

- More than half of teachers perceived GenAI as beneficial for their productivity and work efficiency.
- Most teachers perceived GenAl as easy to use.
- Teachers perceived themselves as somewhat motivated to use GenAl.
- Most teachers perceived GenAI responses as not fully trustworthy.



## **Conclusion**

- Among teachers who used GenAI, ChatGPT was perceived as the most frequently utilized tool.
- Teachers perceived their use of GenAI for teaching as infrequent.
- Teachers perceived themselves as transparent regarding their use of GenAl.
- Teachers perceived themselves as not fully aware of the institutional support available for GenAI.
- While teachers perceived GenAl as easy to use, they expressed reservations about trusting its outputs.
- Teachers perceived themselves as well aware of the ethical aspects of Al use.
- Male teachers perceived themselves as more knowledgeable about certain AI aspects compared to female teachers.

















# **RESEARCH TEAM**

Omid Noroozi

Nafiseh Taghizadeh Kerman

Harm Biemans

Perry den Brok

Kazem Banihashem

**EDUCATION AND LEARNING SCIENCES** 

**CHAIR GROUP**