

Exploring the conceptualisation of urban greenhouse farming.

1/6-1

INGLIGHT

ELETERAL A

Wageningen, 2024

Bas Hendriks

2. METHODS

3. FINDINGS



Introduction

Feeding urban populations will become difficult, this Honours card identifies urban greenhouse farming as a trend and highlights the lack of a clear conceptualization.

Urban Greenhouse Farming

FUTURE PROOF

In light of **climate change** and **rapid urbanization**, how we feed urban areas in the future is a **large challenge**. There is a trend of bringing food production **closer to cities**. Within this trend, the potential role of covered cultivation methods has received **little exploration** so far, while there is movement.









THE TERM

The term **urban greenhouse farming** emerged within this movement, referring to indoor horticulture farming in cities. This term covers a wide range of performances while there is **no clear conceptualization** at present. This Honours card explores how urban greenhouse farming is performed in practice and aims to investigate whether the different performances are to catch under a **common denominator**.

2. METHODS

3. FINDINGS



Methods

In three phases, various forms of urban greenhouse farming and results of interviews are analyzed to conclude on the main question.

Phasing



5/12

2. METHODS

3. FINDINGS



The results of the desk research and interviews are mapped with help of the Social Practice Theory, two clusters appear.

Mapping of results

FINDINGS

- After the literature study and the fieldwork where **six experts** in urban food production of Dutch case studies are **interviewed**, the case studies are are **mapped** on two axis:
- 1. Dependency on citizens
- 2. Acreage

MAIN INSIGHTS

Two dominant performances of urban greenhouse farming emerged from the results:

- **1. Process optimisation** urban greenhouse farming
- 2. Community-driven urban greenhouse farming





EXPLANATION GRAPH

- The scores are **interpretative estimations** to visualise the urban greenhouse farming performances.
- In practice, differences among the case studies are more nuanced.

The first cluster is based on process optimisation within urban greenhouse farming, it involves high-tech and is characterized by resource efficiency.

Process optimisation



The second cluster is community-driven, it involves lower-tech methods and citizen participation while focusing on sustainable and social relationships.

Community-driven

COMMUNITY-DRIVEN BASED

When **social interaction** and **citizen involvement** in cultivating foods is valued, this might be interesting. Food production tends to be **lower** and **more urban land** would be necessary. However, it demands **less costs** and **specific expertise**.

SOCIAL CONTEXT

This type of urban greenhouse farming relies on the **active involvement** of citizens who act as volunteers in the performance. The urban greenhouse farming performance is thus **explicitly bound to the social context.**

€**6**

HUMAN RESOURCES

Horticulturists, coordinators, and citizens who act as **volunteers**. They form a **community** that cultivates food for the city region. The horticulturists provide **guidance** to citizens on the important matters.



While both forms of urban greenhouse farming are distinct, they overlap in certain aspects too.

Comparison

MAIN INSIGHTS

The results show that urban greenhouse farming is **not unequivocal**. Two dominant practice forms of urban greenhouse farming are put forward. These types have **different goals**, require **various competences**, and are enacted by **different practitioners**. It is, therefore, stated to look **more nuanced** at urban greenhouse farming since the performances are not to catch under a common denominator.

Urban greenhouse farming can be viewed as an **emerging food production practice**. Both types of urban greenhouse farming are in their **early stages** and have the potential to evolve into **more established** and **recognizable** forms of urban greenhouse





2. METHODS

3. FINDINGS



Conclusion

No clear definition could be found, but understanding the emerging types of urban farming can contribute to a broader debate of food production in urban areas.

CONCLUSION

It appeared that the **context** of the urban greenhouse is **crucial** for the emergence of this practice. In the Netherlands, the community-driven type, which is more **strongly embedded** in the social context, seems to be **taking off more in society** compared to the other type. However, in regions that have to deal with **limited arable** farmland or **resource** insecurities, the **process optimisation** type is more likely to emerge.

COEXISTING

"URBAN

GREENHOUSE

FARMING"

The two emerging urban greenhouse farming types can **coexist**; however, they serve **different goals** and have **different connections** to urban areas. For policy and research purposes, the described types of urban greenhouse farming might help us better understand urban greenhouse farming. Additionally, the exploration of urban greenhouse farming might **advance debates** about whether food production should be organized **in urban regions**, and how to do so.



DEFINITION

Urban greenhouse farming can be viewed as an emerging food production practice. The results show that urban greenhouse farming is not unequivocal.